Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUN 2 5 1999

In the Matter of)	PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Cellular Telecommunications Industry)	WT Docket No. 98-229
Association's Petition for Forbearance From)	
Commercial Mobile Radio Services Number)	
Portability Obligations)	
)	·
and)	
)	000 1 11 05 116
Telephone Number Portability)	CC Docket No. 95-116

COMMENTS ON PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION

MCI WorldCom Inc. ("MCI WorldCom") files these comments on the Petitions for Reconsideration filed by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PaPUC"), the Telecommunications Resellers Association ("TRA") and GTE Service Corp. ("GTE") in the matter of the *Memorandum Opinion and Order* in this proceeding. ¹

INTRODUCTION

In its Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Commission granted the request of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association ("CTIA") for forbearance from service provider local number portability ("LNP") requirements for broadband commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers, until the end of the five-year buildout period for broadband personal communications service ("PCS") carriers. ² In

¹ Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association's Petition for Forbearance from Commercial Mobile Radio Services Number Portability Obligations, Memorandum Opinion and Order, WT Docket No. 98-229, CC Docket No. 95-116 (adopted February 8, 1999; rel. February 9, 1999).

² Petition for Forbearance of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association (filed December 16, 1997) (Forbearance Petition).

granting the petition, the Commission extended the deadline for CMRS providers to support service provider LNP in the top 100 metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs") until November 24, 2002.

MCI WorldCom, the PaPUC and TRA all seek to have the Commission reinstate the March 31, 2000 wireless LNP implementation date. GTE seeks to have the Commission forbear completely from requiring wireless carriers to implement LNP.

GTE argues misleadingly that the Commission must forbear completely under Section 10 of the Communications Act from requiring the implementation of wireless LNP, not just extend the date for such implementation.

MCI WorldCom disagrees with GTE's erroneous assertions. However characterized, it is clearly within the Commission's power and ability to change implementation dates for Commission Orders. The Commission has various alternatives, including rule changes and waivers, to extend the implementation date in accordance with the public interest. Obviously, many members of the wireless industry disagree with GTE's analysis and understand that it is well within the Commission's purview to "forbear" from a specified deadline by extending that date, and to categorize that extension as a limited forbearance. In fact, CTIA requested the Commission to do exactly that—forbear from imposing wireless LNP implementation until the completion of the five-year buildout period for PCS providers.

GTE has not shown that complete forbearance is warranted under Section 10.

More importantly, the FCC has already determined that the benefits to consumers and competition from the participation of wireless carriers in LNP are significant. Given

these significant benefits, it is inconceivable that permanent forbearance could be warranted.

MCI WorldCom again urges the Commission to reinstate the previously ordered date of March 31, 2000 for wireless LNP implementation. The public interest, competitive parity and number resource optimization ("NRO") efforts require all carriers to be able to freely compete for and serve customers and to participate fully in maximum efficient number use and conservation endeavors for the benefit of all consumers. The nation's consumers deserve no less than the benefit of full competition among all telecommunications service providers. The competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") industry faced, and continues to face the need to build out their networks to reach customers. But the CLEC industry shouldered the financial burdens necessary to implement LNP in order to enable more robust competition. The public interest on behalf of customers must come first, not financial considerations.

There is no substitute for competition. Like TRA, MCI WorldCom agrees that competition is essential to ensure that telecommunications rates, terms and conditions are reasonable and not unreasonably discriminatory. MCI WorldCom agrees with TRA that there is no such thing as "enough" competition. Competition means more than just multiple carriers in a given market for metropolitan areas. The Commission ordered wireline carriers to implement LNP because LNP is a critical foundation for competition. As Congress recognized, consumers must be able to pick and choose among carriers while retaining telephone numbers.

³ TRA Petition, p 8.

⁴ Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56, Section 251, (b)(2).

Consumers also deserve the involvement of all industry segments in optimization measures intended to lessen the disruptive effects and increased costs associated with needless area code exhaust. The number conservation benefits of LNP-based optimization measures are maximized when all industry segments participate. Carriers not participating in efficient number utilization efforts such as 1,000-block pooling and administration among others are indeed part of the problem, not part of the solution despite claims to the contrary.

Anne F. La Lena

Henry G. Hultquist

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-3847

Date: June 25, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Barbara Nowlin, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Comments of MCIWorldCom filed in WT Docket 98-229, CC Docket No. 95-116 was served on this 25th day of June by first class mail upon the following:

Honorable William E. Kennard**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Harold Furchgott-Roth**
Federal Communciations Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Susan Ness**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Michael Powell**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Gloria Tristani**
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W.
8th Floor
Washington, DC 20554

Kevin Gallagher Sr. Vice President and General Counsel 360 Communications Co. 8725 W. Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Richard Metzger Emily M. Williams ALTS 888 17th Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20006

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (for Bell Atlantic Mobile)

M. Robert Sutherland Theodore Kingsley Bell South 1155 Peachtree Street, Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Michael F. Atschul Randall Coleman Cellular Telecommunications Industry Assoc. 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Susan Smith
Director, External Affairs
Century Cellunet, Inc.
3505 Summerhill Road
No. 4 Summer Place
Texarkana, TX 75501

Andre J. Lachance GTE Service Corp. 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert S. Foosaner Nextel 1450 G Street, N.W., Suite 425 Washington, D.C. 20005

William S. Roughton, Jr.
Associate General Counsel
Primeco Personal Communications
601 13th Street N.W.
Suite 320 South
Washington, D.C. 20005

Jeanne A. Fischer Senior Counsel Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems 13075 Manchester Road St. Louis, MO 63131

Caressa D. Bennet
Dorothy F. Cukier
Bennet & Bennet
1019 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
(for Rural Telecommunications Group)

Pamela J. Riley Vice President, Federal Regulatory 1818 N Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036

Elizabeth Sachs
Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs
1111 19th Street, N.W., 12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
(for American Mobile Telecommunications
Assn.)

Dean Proctor
Vice President Regulatory Affairs
Microcell Telecommunications, Inc.
1250 Rene-Levesque Blvd. West
4th Floor
Montreal, Quebec
Canada H3B 4W8

Judith St. Ledger-Roty Kelley Drye & Warren 1200 19th Street, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 (for Paging Network, Inc.)

Peter M. Connolly Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (for United States Cellular Corp.)

William J. Sill
Jill Canfield
Evans & Sill
919 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20006
(for Upstate Cellular Network)

Linda L. Oliver Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P. 555 Thirteenth St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (for Telecommunications Resellers Association)

David Gusky
Telecommunications Resellers Association
1401 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

International Transcription Services, Inc.**
1231 20th Street
Washington, DC 20037

**Hand Delivery

Barbara Nowlin